

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,210	11/07/2003	Helmut Kanzler	Ruff 17	2928
	7590 12/29/2006 L BOUTELL & TANIS,	EXAMINER		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD			TORRES, ALICIA M	
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/705,210	KANZLER, HELMUT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Alicia M. Torres	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE • M	ONTHION OF THEFTY (20) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 (October 2006.					
,						
.—						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-28 and 37-43 is/are pending in the	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>22-26, 28, 37-41 and 43</u> is/are rejected.	ed.					
 7) Claim(s) 27 and 42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	or election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to receive items.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or processy assures are assured to					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,210 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Objections

1. Claims 23, 24 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: there is lack of antecedent basis for "the further consumers". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the ultimate line "with regard to little loss" leaves unclear what is being lost. Appropriate correction is required.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22, 24-26, 37-39, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roemer 4,057,916 in view of Capps et al. 6,879,895.
- 4. Roemer discloses a device wherein the following method for controlling a snow-trail grooming vehicle is inherent, the method comprising the steps of:
 - Providing a snow-trail grooming vehicle having
 - o a drive motor (18)
 - o a track drive (14)
 - o a snow-trail grooming device (20).

However, Roemer fails to disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/705,210 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

o means for distributing driving power to the track drive and the snow-trail grooming device

- o a central processing system for controlling the means for distributing driving power having means for specifying threshold values for the driving power, the threshold values limiting the driving power made available to the chain or track drive and the further consumers of driving power
- selectively prioritizing one of the track drive and snow-trail grooming device in a
 changeable way and specifying threshold values for the driving power available to at leas
 one of the device and drive
- detecting environmental parameters and indicating a change of the automatic prioritizing,
 in dependency of the environmental parameters, by the central processing system to an
 operator
- distributing the driving power depending on the prioritizing step.

Capps et al. discloses an apparatus including:

- o means (21) for distributing driving power to the components
- a central processing system (11) for controlling the means (21) for distributing driving power having means for specifying threshold values for the driving power, the threshold values limiting the driving power made available to the components
- selectively prioritizing one of the components in a changeable way and specifying threshold values (26, see column 9, lines 27-30) for the driving power available to at leas one of the device and drive

Application/Control Number: 10/705,210

Art Unit: 3671

• detecting environmental parameters (see column 4, lines 1-7) and indicating a change of the automatic prioritizing, in dependency of the environmental parameters, by the central processing system (11) to an operator

Page 4

• distributing the driving power depending on the prioritizing step.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the distribution means and prioritizing step of Capps et al. on the apparatus of Roemer in order to protect the power supply.

5. Claims 28 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roemer and Capps et al. as applied to claims 22 and 37 above, and further in view of Miyake et al. 5,002,148.

The device is disclosed as applied above. However, the combination fails to disclose wherein the snow-trail grooming vehicle further has a hydraulic brake or pump coupled with the drive motor for providing braking power, the method further comprising the step of distributing the braking power onto the further consumers under control of the central processing system.

Miyake et al. discloses a motor vehicle wherein the hydraulic brake (6) provides a braking power under the control of the processing system (23).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the hydraulic brake of Miyake et al. on the snow-grooming apparatus of Roemer and Capps et al. in order to eliminate the braking difference between compenents.

6. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roemer and Capps et al. as applied to claim 40 above, and further in view of Rogers 6,904,708.

The device is disclosed as applied above. However, the combination fails to disclose wherein the environmental parameters comprise at least one of slope incline, cable-winch operation, density of the snow, temperature of the snow and height of the snow.

Rogers discloses a snow-grooming apparatus wherein the control system takes environmental parameters such as the temperature into account.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the environmental parameter of Rogers on the apparatus of Roemer and Capps et al. in order to provide automatic control

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-6997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 571-272-6998.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,210 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3600. The fax number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

Whomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3671

AMT December 22, 2006